Committee: Steve Veres, Chair; Scott J. Svonkin, Vice Chair; and Ernest H. Moreno, Member

Trustee Veres called the meeting to order at 1:27 p.m.

PUBLIC SPEAKERS

None.

REPORTS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS

Presentations/Initiative Reviews

- District Water Use and Conservation Resolution

Documents entitled “Resolution – Water Use and Conservation (Draft)” and “LACCD Program Management Services, Water Use and Conservation Resolution” were distributed.

Trustee Veres stated that Trustee Svonkin had requested that the draft Resolution be presented at the Board meeting in response to California’s statewide drought campaign.

Mr. James D. O’Reilly, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Planning and Development, indicated that the Governor has declared a state of emergency and directed State officials to take necessary actions to prepare for drought conditions. Consequently, Dr. Brice Harris, Chancellor, California Community Colleges has requested that community colleges in California find unique water usage reduction ideas.

Mr. O’Reilly continued to discuss the Los Angeles Community College District (LACCD) leadership role in water conservation since 2001 with respect to various actions that have been taken to reduce water usage at all nine colleges.

Trustee Veres requested that staff report the water utilization baseline back to the Committee as far back as feasible to help identify water efficiency opportunities and to track their progress. He further requested that the LACCD partner with other water agencies to share ideas and jointly educate students and staff on water conservation.

Motion by Trustee Svonkin, seconded by Trustee Veres, to recommend to the full Board the adoption of the Resolution – Water Use and Conservation.

Without objection, so ordered.

APPROVED: 3 Ayes

There being no objection, Trustee Veres took out of order LACCD Connect Project Validation.
• **LACCD Connect Project Validation**

Trustee Veres indicated that this item is being withdrawn until the Committee meeting scheduled for May. However, there is a speaker present that is requesting to address the Committee today and to consider her comments at the next Committee meeting regarding the LACCD Connect Project Validation item.

**PUBLIC SPEAKERS**

Ms. Wendy Bass, Co-chair, Technology Policy and Planning Committee (TPPC), Los Angeles Pierce College, addressed the Committee about moving forward with the LACCD Connect Project so that all of the colleges will be able to communicate with each other in case of a catastrophe.

• **Van de Kamp Innovation Center Occupancy Options**

A document entitled “Summary of Van de Kamp Environmental Impact Report (EIR)” was distributed.

Interim Chancellor Adriana D. Barrera discussed the document with respect to the four occupancy options under consideration for the future use of the Van de Kamp Innovation Center. These include Option 1: College and High School Mix; Option 2: High School and Adult Education/Workforce Training Mix; Option 3: Current Mix (High School, Adult Education/Workforce Training, and Office); and Option 4: Office and University Collaboration Mix. She indicated that staff intends to make a recommendation in two months on the best option for the utilization of the Van de Kamp Innovation Center lease.

A question and answer session was conducted regarding various topics with respect to the Van de Kamp Innovation Center options.

Trustee Veres requested that the colleges' needs be considered first with respect to class offerings at the Van de Kamp Innovation Center. Thereafter, the four options available to be considered along with a budget plan and the State budget projections.

Trustee Moreno requested that with respect to the Van de Kamp Innovation Center, operational fees/expenses and lease revenues be disclosed to the Committee.

Interim Chancellor Barrera responded that this will be provided via email to the Committee members.

• **Ten Percent Change Order Policy**

A document entitled “LACCD Program Management Services, 10% Change Order Rule” was distributed.

Mr. O'Reilly gave an overview of the current Ten Percent Change Order Policy and deferred to Mr. John Dacey, Lead Construction Counsel.

Mr. Dacey gave a PowerPoint presentation and discussed the District's current practice regarding the Ten Percent Change Order rule and the direction to change the procedure and utilize the California Attorney General's Opinion. He indicated that at this time, this is informational only and he requested approval from the Committee to move forward with the new policy.

Trustee Svonkin expressed his concern that there is no LACCD standard change order policy.

A question and answer session was conducted regarding various components with respect to the new Ten Percent Change Order Policy.
Mr. Dacey indicated that this item will come before the Committee in two months with a comprehensive presentation as to the safeguard components that will be implemented to ensure results and a description of the benefits for the LACCD with respect to the Ten Percent Change Order Policy.

Trustee Veres directed staff to proceed with the implementation of the new Ten Percent Change Order Policy and requested that this item be brought back to the Committee for further discussion when appropriate.

- **Request for Proposal (RFP) Protest Procedures**

Documents entitled “LACCD Program Management Services, New Protest Procedure” and “Current LACCD Protest Policy and Procedure” were distributed.

Mr. Dacey gave a PowerPoint presentation and discussed the recommendation and rationale for the proposed New Protest Procedure. He continued the PowerPoint presentation with respect to the current protest procedure, examples of unnecessary delays, consequences, the Law, proper effect of a protest procedure, general outline of proposed (new) Protest Procedure, effect and benefits of the proposed (new) Protest Procedure, and conclusion.

Trustee Moreno suggested that a designee position be included in the policy in the absence of the Chancellor.

Mr. Dacey indicated that this suggestion would be taken into consideration.

Trustee Veres directed staff to proceed with the implementation of the new Protest Procedure for Bond Program procurements.

The Committee members expressed their appreciation for the new policy and presentations and thanked everyone for their diligence in preparing the materials provided.

**NEW BUSINESS**

None.

**SUMMARY – NEXT MEETING**

None.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 2:51 p.m.