Committee: Steve Veres, Chair; Scott J. Svonkin, Vice Chair; and Ernest H. Moreno, Member

Trustee Veres called the meeting to order at 2:33 p.m.

PUBLIC SPEAKERS

None.

REPORTS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS

Presentations/Initiative Reviews: Energy Program Assessment

- Recap of Previous Energy Projects
- Status of Current Energy Projects
- Recommended Way Forward

A document entitled “Los Angeles Community College District, Program Management Office, District-wide Energy Program Overview” was distributed.

Mr. James D. O’Reilly, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Planning and Development, indicated that today’s presentation is in response to the Committee’s request for an overview of the Energy Program’s initial direction of becoming sustainable. He deferred to Mr. William Sewell, Deputy Program Director, Los Angeles Community College District (LACCD) Bond Program Management Office.

Mr. Sewell discussed the document regarding the Energy Program with respect to the LACCD Energy Efficiency Initial Program Vision; 40J Energy Budget Summary; Central Plant Project Summary; Measurement and Demand Response (M&DR) Project Summary; Measurement and Demand Response by College; Photovoltaic (PV) Summary; Historical Summary of the Installed Price of Photovoltaic in the United States, 1998-2012; PV Installations by College; LACCD Energy Profile; Case Study 1: East Los Angeles College; East Los Angeles College Co-Gen Central Plant; Case Study 2: Los Angeles Southwest College; Los Angeles Southwest College Central Plant; and LACCD Proposed Energy Strategies.

With respect to the Photovoltaic Installations by College, Trustee Moreno indicated that his recollection as to the total production (kilowatts) for ELAC was much larger. He also expressed his concern that the PV Program did not produce the results as initially projected.

Mr. Sewell responded that he would check the data and present his findings to the Committee.

A question and answer session was conducted regarding various issues of concern with respect to the PV Program and the new proposed energy strategies.

Trustee Veres expressed his concern that the Energy Program’s initial investments did not produce the projected savings. He indicated that while going forward, LACCD needs to take advantage of two to three year investments—not the previous commitment of 10 to 20 years in order to yield savings.
With respect to the LACCD Energy Profile, Trustee Veres indicated that all the colleges should have a standard goal in order to be at the acceptable performance range. He applauded Trustee Moreno for ELAC being the only college that is in the operating acceptable performance range.

Trustee Veres indicated that he and the Chancellor would be having discussion with Mr. O'Reilly on the new direction of the Energy Program and that this item would be brought back to the Committee for further discussion.

NEW BUSINESS
None.

SUMMARY – NEXT MEETING
None.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 3:13 p.m.