Committee: Steve Veres, Chair; Scott J. Svonkin, Vice Chair; and Ernest H. Moreno, Member

Trustee Veres called the meeting to order at 2:22 p.m.

PUBLIC SPEAKERS

Ms. Caroline Mello, SEIU Local 99; Ms. Kathleen Becket, SEIU Local 99; Mr. Ishmall Villanueva, SEIU Local 99; Mr. Chris Ozzan, SEIU Local 99; Mr. Carlos Diaz, SEIU Local 99; and Ms. Irma Lopez SEIU Local 99 addressed the Committee in support of Resolution – Affirm Commitment to Protect Capital Investments Through Understanding and Management of Total Cost of Ownership (Draft) and expressed their concerns with respect to the facilities maintenance of the grounds, at all nine colleges.

Trustee Veres noted for the record that the Committee received a letter from SEIU Local 99 addressing their concerns regarding the facilities maintenance of the college grounds.

REPORTS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS

LEED™ and Parking Structure Projects – Presentation and Approval

- Los Angeles Harbor College – S.A.I.L.S. Student Union, Design-Build ($36.5 million)

Documents entitled “Los Angeles Harbor College (LAHC) Student Union Project” and “S.A.I.L.S. – Student Union, Meeting Submittal Form (Design – Build Project)” were distributed.

Mr. James D. O’Reilly, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Planning and Development, indicated that this building is a part of the $67 million design-build project funded by Measure J and that the awarded contract for this building is $36.5 million.

Mr. Farley Herzek, Interim President, LAHC, gave an overview of the project and introduced Mr. Timothy J. Spaeth, Project Manager, Arcadis.

Mr. Spaeth and Mr. Marco Eacrett, Principal, HMC Architects, gave a fly-through presentation regarding the project.

A question and answer session was conducted regarding the construction building plan.

Motion by Trustee Svonkin, seconded by Trustee Moreno, to approve in concept the LAHC – SAILS Student Union Building project as presented with the condition that the space available is reused efficiently.

APPROVED: 3 Ayes
Presentations/Initiative Reviews

- Van de Kamp Innovation Center Occupancy Options
- **District Facilities Total Cost of Ownership Resolution**

Documents entitled “Los Angeles Community College District Program Management Services, Total Cost of Ownership Resolution” and “Resolution – Affirm Commitment to Protect Capital Investments Through Understanding and Management of Total Cost of Ownership” were distributed.

Mr. O’Reilly gave a PowerPoint presentation and discussed the document entitled “Total Cost of Ownership Resolution” with respect to Building Life Cycle Costs, Sources of Funds, Facilities Performance Measurement, Facilities Performance Outcomes, and Desired Facilities Performance Results.

Trustee Veres read the draft Resolution entitled “Affirm Commitment to Protect Capital Investment Through Understanding and Management of Total Cost of Ownership.” He stated that the goal of the resolution is to implement industry standard measurements; he opened the topic for discussion.

Trustee Moreno expressed his concern that the costs for the facilities maintenance of the college grounds will take away funds from the colleges and will prevent them from managing their administrations with respect to class offerings. He requested that the last Resolved in the resolution be amended as follows:

**RESOLVED:** That the District will implement modern effective and efficient management tools to measure and track the performance of its facilities so that it can provide a consistently high-quality environment Districtwide for students, faculty, and staff to teach, work, and learn; and be it further

Trustee Veres requested that the last Whereas be amended as follows:

**WHEREAS,** All of the above building lifecycle costs must be properly managed and staffed to maintain and to protect the District’s capital investments and equipment warranties; now, therefore, be it

Motion by Trustee Svonkin, seconded by Trustee Veres, to recommend to the full Board the adoption of the Resolution with the recommended amendments included.

**APPROVED:** 2 Ayes (Svonkin and Veres)

1 No (Moreno)

NEW BUSINESS

None.

**SUMMARY – NEXT MEETING**

None.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 3:32 p.m.