REVISED

District Budget Committee January 30, 2019 1:30 pm - 3:30 pm Educational Services Center, Board Room

- Call to Order (Jeff Hernandez) 1.
- 2. Approval of Agenda
- Approval of Minutes for December 5, 2018 3.
- 4. Chancellor's Remarks/Updates
- 5. ECDBC Reports and Recommendations
 - District Allocation Model •
 - 2018-19 Projected Unbudgeted Apportionment •
- Enrollment Update (Cornner) 6.
- 7. FON Update (Román)
- 8. 2019-20 Governor's Budget (Miller)
- 9. DBC Recommendations to the Chancellor
- 10. Items to Be Addressed by ECDBC
- 11. Other Business

Future DBC Meetings: Feb 13, Mar 13, Apr 10, May 8, Jun 12

Future ECDBC Meetings: Feb 26, Mar 26, Apr 23, May 21, June 25

Supporting Documents can be found at: http://laccd.edu/Departments/DistrictLevelGovernance/DBC/Pages/default.aspx

Membership

Academic Senate

Holly Bailey-Hofmann Lourdes Brent Angela Echeverri Jeff Hernandez* Robert L Stewart Jr. Dan Wanner

Faculty Guild

Joseph Guerrieri Sandra Lee John McDowell Rodger Mc Ginness Olga Shewfelt Joanne Waddell

Unions/Association

Arif Ahmed Kathleen Becket Velma Butler Iris Ingram Paulina Palomino Vacant-Build& Const Trade

College Presidents

Seher Awan Lawrence Buckley** Larry Frank Mary Gallagher Mike Lee** Otto W. Lee James M. Limbaugh Marvin Martinez Monte Perez*

STUDENT TRUSTEE REPRESENTATIVE vacant

* Co-chairs **Interim

Los Angeles Community College District

District Budget Committee Meeting Minutes

December 5, 2018 1:30-3:30 pm, Educational Services Center, Board Room

Roll Call X Indicates Present

Academic Senate		L.A. Faculty Guild	
Holly Bailey-Hoffman		Joseph Guerrieri	Х
Lourdes Brent	Х	Sandra Lee	Х
Angela Echeverri	Х	John McDowell	Х
Jeff Hernandez*		Rodger Mc Ginness	
Robert L. Stewart Jr.	Х	Olga Shewfelt	Х
Dan Wanner	Х	Joanne Waddell	Х

Unions/Association College Presidents Arif Ahmed Seher Awan Lawrence Buckley**(Rolf Kathleen Becket; SEIU Local 99 Schleicher) Velma Butler/Shirley Chen Erika Endrijonas * Iris Ingram; Class Mgmt. Rep Larry Frank Х Paulina Palomino; Local 911 Teamster Mary Gallagher Vacant-Build & Cost Trade Otto W. Lee James M. Limbaugh

Student Trustee Rep Kelly N. Williams

* DBC CO-chairs

** Interim

Also Present

Resource Persons

Ryan Cornner Jeanette Gordon Deborah La Teer Robert Miller Melinda Nish Maury Pearl Francisco Rodriguez Albert Román

Guests

Armstrong)

Monte Perez

Violet Amrikhas Grace Chee Daniel Hall Anil Jain Mike Lee Robert Medina Pam Sanford

Marvin Martinez (Myeshia

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

- 1. Call to Order by Erika A. Endrijonas at 1:40pm.
- 2. Approval of Agenda The agenda was approved with no changes.
- 3. Approval of Minutes The minutes of the October 17, 2018 meeting were approved with no changes.

4. Chancellor's Remarks/Updates

- Expressed holiday wishes and gratitude to the committee and others colleagues for working towards adjusting to the new Student Centered Funding Formula. This work is necessary to position our District to best serve students in the years to come.
- Legislative Package Priorities
 - Assembly Bill 2- This bill would authorize a second year of fee waivers to eligible students
 - Support statewide efforts on a base increase
 - Funding Formula advocate change for data reporting that disadvantages multi college districts.
 - o Support intersegmental statewide bonds- advocating a 50% split for community colleges
 - Additional support for Full Time Faculty, pushing for diversity.
 - Support a funding carve out for our 9 dreamer resource centers

5. ECDBC Reports and Recommendations

• A report previously distributed at the November 2018 ECDBC meeting detailing college level metrics and associated revenues was discussed in detail.

6. Enrollment Update (Cornner)

- Summer 2018 Enrollment increased by 12%, Fall 2018 is down by 2% and Winter 2019 is down by 5%.
- If there is no change in Spring 2019 and Summer 2019, the District is projecting a total decrease in FTES by .5%.
- Preliminary estimates show larger increase in short-term enrollment with declines in full-term classes.
- Increased offerings have been noted in enhanced noncredit with an expectation for increased FTES at the full rate.

7. FON Update (Roman)

- A handout titled Allocation of LACCD Fall 2019 Projected Hires by College as of December 5, 2018 was distributed and discussed.
- The District is projected to hire 82 faculty.

8. 2018-19 First Quarter Financial Status Report (Gordon)

• A report detailing the projected ending balances by location was distributed, currently 4 colleges and the District Office are projecting a negative balance.

9. DBC Recommendations to the Chancellor

• None

10. Item to Be Addressed by ECDBC

• Continue working on the Allocation Model in anticipation of release of the budget plan for May.

11. Other Business

• None

The meeting was adjourned at 3:03pm.

Future DBC Meetings: Jan 30, Feb 13, Mar 13, Apr 10, May 8, Jun 12 Future ECDBC Meetings: Jan 8, Jan 29, Feb 26, Mar 26, Apr 23, May 21, Jun 25

Date	Topic of Discussion
7/17/18	Review Student Centered Funding Formula
7/31/18	Continued Review Student Centered Funding Formula
9/25/18	Review LACCD data metrics
	Deliverable - develop timeline and approach
10/30/18	Review Current Model - What worked, What didn't
	Review other District Models; Visions for LACCD
11/27/18	Review LACCD data metrics in new formula
1/8/19	ESC & Districtwide
	College Minimum Base
	Deliverable- determine Allocation Model Scenarios
1/29/19 *	Allocation Model Simulations
	Transition Period
	Enrollment or Other Metric declines
2/26/19	College Debt
3/26/19	STRS/PERS, OPEB Reserves
	College, ESC and Districtwide Ending balances
4/23/19	Deliverable - Final Budget Allocation Document
5/8/19	Deliverable - Presentation to DBC

* Extended meeting, 10am - 4pm

Timeline assumes no additional agenda items directed from DBC.

Scenario 1: Percentage based on Total Appropriation Budget (includes ending balances)

Type: Allocation	2014-15		2015-16		2016-17		2017-18		2018-19	
ESC	23,250,181	3.8%	25,005,632	3.4%	26,915,473	3.5%	27,335,354	3.6%	28,197,780	3.5%
IT	10,196,026	1.6%	10,965,853	1.5%	11,276,187	1.5%	11,452,096	1.5%	11,813,407	1.5%
Total ESC	33,446,207	5.4%	35,971,485	4.8%	38,191,660	5.0%	38,787,450	5.0%	40,011,187	5.0%
Centralized	51,160,022	8.3%	49,677,254	6.7%	74,580,372	9.7%	82,268,023	10.7%	79,149,432	9.9%
Total ESC & Centralized	84,606,229	13.7%	85,648,739	11.5%	112,772,032	14.6%	121,055,473	15.8%	119,160,619	15.0%
Total Appropriation Budget	618,617,219		745,178,416		770,714,238		768,093,820		795,619,777	

Scenario 2: Percentage based on Total Appropriation Budget (excludes ending balances)

Type:Allocation	2014-15		2015-16		2016-17		2017-18		2018-19	
ESC	23,250,181	4.2%	25,005,632	3.7%	26,915,473	4.0%	27,335,354	4.1%	28,197,780	4.1%
IT	10,196,026	1.8%	10,965,853	1.6%	11,276,187	1.7%	11,452,096	1.7%	11,813,407	1.7%
Total ESC	33,446,207	6.0%	35,971,485	5.4%	38,191,660	5.7%	38,787,450	5.9%	40,011,187	5.8%
Centralized	51,160,022	9.1%	49,677,254	7.4%	74,580,372	11.2%	82,268,023	12.4%	79,149,432	11.6%
Total ESC & Centralized	84,606,229	15.1%	85,648,739	12.8%	112,772,032	16.9%	121,055,473	18.3%	119,160,619	17.4%
Total Expenditure Budget (w/o ending										
balances)	559,637,667		667,003,003		668,667,079		662,590,754		684,506,752	

Scenario 3: Percentage based on Total Expenditure Actuals

Type: Actual Expenditure	2014-15		2015-16		2016-17		2017-18	
COLLEGE TOTAL	457,044,558	80.1%	497,563,439	79.7%	530,668,030	80.7%	530,307,876	80.2%
ESC	23,824,278	4.2%	27,854,563	4.5%	27,508,246	4.2%	26,881,320	4.1%
IT	10,345,227	1.8%	12,760,838	2.0%	11,489,777	1.7%	10,494,095	1.6%
Total ESC	34,169,505	6.0%	40,615,401	6.5%	38,998,023	5.9%	37,375,415	5.7%
Centralized	79,329,115	13.9%	86,024,914	13.8%	88,175,246	13.4%	93,458,866	14.1%
Total ESC & Centralized	113,498,620	19.9%	126,640,314	20.3%	127,173,269	19.3%	130,834,281	19.8%
Total Actual Expenditures	570,543,178		624,203,754		657,841,298		661,142,157	

Scenario 4: Percentage based on Revenue Budget (less dedicated revenue)

Type: Allocation	2014-15		2015-16		2016-17		2017-18		2018-19	
ESC	23,250,181	4.3%	25,005,632	4.1%	26,915,473	4.3%	27,335,354	4.2%	28,197,780	4.3%
IT	10,196,026	1.9%	10,965,853	1.8%	11,276,187	1.8%	11,452,096	1.8%	11,813,407	1.8%
Total ESC	33,446,207	6.2%	35,971,485	5.9%	38,191,660	6.1%	38,787,450	6.0%	40,011,187	6.0%
Centralized	51,160,022	9.5%	49,677,254	8.1%	74,580,372	11.9%	82,268,023	12.7%	79,149,432	12.0%
Total ESC & Centralized	84,606,229	15.7%	85,648,739	14.0%	112,772,032	17.9%	121,055,473	18.7%	119,160,619	18.0%
Total Revenue (less dedicated)	538,531,574		611,361,809		628,854,497		648,343,704		662,110,285	

Scenario 1: Percentage based on Total Appropriation Budget (includes ending balances)

		LACCD		San Die	ego	No Ora	nge	Los Ri	os	Ventu	ra
Туре		2018-19		2018-19		2018-1	9	2018-1	19	2018-19	
ESC		28,197,780	3.5%	24,567,381	7.9%	29,390,261	7.8%	8,796,859	1.8%	11,453,703	7.0%
ІТ		11,813,407	1.5%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
	Total ESC	40,011,187	5.0%	24,567,381	7.9%	29,390,261	7.8%	8,796,859	1.8%	11,453,703	7.0%
Centralized		79,149,432	9.9%	76,211,692	24.4%	83,066,319	22.1%	153,267,321	31.1%	11,698,369	7.1%
Total ES	C & Centralized	119,160,619	15.0%	100,779,073	32.3%	112,456,580	30.0%	162,064,180	32.9%	23,152,072	14.1%
Total Appropriation Budget 795,619,777		311,793,252		375,293,618		492,755,201		164,093,164			

Scenario 2: Percentage based on Total Budgeted Appropriation Budget (excludes ending balances)

	LACCD		San Die	ego	No Ora	nge	Los Ri	os	Ventu	ra
Туре	2018-19		2018-1	9	2018-1	9	2018-1	19	2018-1	9
ESC	28,197,780	4.1%	24,951,987	8.6%	29,390,261	9.6%	8,796,859	1.9%	11,453,703	6.9%
IT	11,813,407	1.7%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
Total ESC	40,011,187	5.8%	24,951,987	8.6%	29,390,261	9.6%	8,796,859	1.9%	11,453,703	6.9%
Centralized	79,149,432	11.6%	74,517,550	25.8%	83,066,319	27.1%	153,267,321	33.6%	11,698,369	7.0%
Total ESC & Centralized	119,160,619	17.4%	99,469,537	34.4%	112,456,580	36.7%	162,064,180	35.5%	23,152,072	13.9%
Total Expenditure Budget (w/o ending balances)	684,506,752		289,074,086		306,656,143		456,452,431		167,113,996	
Ending Balance	111,113,025	16.2%	22,719,166	7.9%	68,637,475	22.4%	36,302,770	8.0%	(3,020,832)	-1.8%

Scenario 3: Add IT from college, utilities, and M&O costs ; Percentage based on Total Expenditure Budget (excludes ending balances)

	LACCI	C	LACC	D		LACC	D
Туре	2018-1	9	2018-1	9		2018-1	9
ESC	28,197,780	4.1%	28,197,780	4.1%	2	28,197,780	4.1%
IT	11,813,407	1.7%	11,813,407	1.7%	1	11,813,407	1.7%
Total ESC	40,011,187	5.8%	40,011,187	5.8%	4	40,011,187	5.8%
Centralized	79,149,432	11.6%	79,149,432	11.6%	7	79,149,432	11.6%
IT from Colleges	12,728,808	1.9%		0.0%	1	12,728,808	1.9%
Utilities	16,474,562	2.4%	16,474,562	2.4%	1	16,474,562	2.4%
M&O	54,752,770	8.0%		0.0%			0.0%
Total ESC & Centralized	203,116,759	29.7%	135,635,181	19.8%	14	48,363,989	21.7%
Total Expenditure Budget (w/o ending							
balances)	684,506,752		684,506,752		68	34,506,752	

Notes:

San Diego - Centralized includes: Utilities, All IT, and Facilities

No Orange - Centralized includes: Utilities, "hospitality", innovation fund

Los Rios. - Centralized includes: Utilities and M&O for all colleges, faculty release time, IT and telecom, LRC operations, postage, enrollment fee operational costs, Fin Aid workstudy match Ventura - Centralized includes: Utilities, Info Tech Systems

Base Scenario	The 2018-19 Allocation of hold harmless of \$622m using existing District Allocation Model
Scenario 1	SCFF calculations, with assessment based on Revenue % by college
Scenario 2	SCFF calculations reduced by \$17m, with assessment based on Revenue % by college
Scenario 3	SCFF calculations reduced by \$17m, lowered proportionately, with ESC and Districtwide off the top.
Scenario 4	SCFF calculations reduced by \$17m, with ESC and Districtwide taken from FTES revenue.
Scenario 5	No change to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue $\%$
Scenario 6	No change to minimum base, SCFF reduced by 17m, calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 7	Add Utilities to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 8	Add Utilities to minimum base, SCFF calculations reduced by \$17m, lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue
Scenario 9	Add FON to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 10	Add FON to minimum base, SCFF reduced by \$17m, calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 11	Add Utilities & FON to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 12	Add Utilities & FON to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered by $17m$, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 13	Use assignable square footage in minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenu
Scenario 14	Use assignable square footage in minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered by \$17m, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 15	Use acreage in minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue $\%$
Scenario 16	Use acreage in minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered by \$17m, assessment based on Revenue %
Scenario 17	No change to min base, remove living wage revenue, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Rev
Scenario 18	No change to minimum base, remove living wage revenue, SCFF calculations lowered by \$9.8m proportionately, assessmen

e %

nue %

9%

enue %

evenue %

ent based on Revenue %

Base Scenario- 2018-19 budget allocation

col 1	col 2	col 3	col 4	col 5	col 6	col 7	col 8	col 9	col 10
	Minimum	Base Rev	EPA	COLA			Total	Assessment	Total
	Base Rev	Remaining	Funds	2.71%			State Apportionment		Apportionment
City	12,867,190	48,142,671	10,319,263	1,869,446			73,198,570	(16,383,454)	56,815,116
East	15,298,666	100,954,578	22,304,481	3,937,686			142,495,411	(35,365,391)	107,130,020
Harbor	7,757,618	27,257,802	5,552,981	1,050,845			41,619,246	(8,742,858)	32,876,388
Mission	7,153,572	27,683,517	5,687,961	1,068,525			41,593,575	(8,773,400)	32,820,175
Pierce	12,043,029	60,238,868	13,062,620	2,343,115			87,687,632	(20,383,846)	67,303,786
Southwest	7,841,069	22,461,449	4,486,081	863,576			35,652,175	(6,870,435)	28,781,740
Trade-Tecl	11,279,127	49,888,468	10,646,723	1,936,009			73,750,327	(16,522,923)	57,227,404
Valley	11,387,664	51,158,421	10,886,311	1,984,466			75,416,862	(16,920,700)	58,496,162
West	7,734,816	32,920,663	6,926,183	1,274,930			48,856,592	(11,044,450)	37,812,142
ITV	-	1,565,551	357,600	61,371			1,984,522	(556,896)	1,427,626
TOTAL	93,362,751	422,271,988	90,230,204	16,389,969			622,254,912	(141,564,353)	480,690,559

Scenario 5- no change to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %

col 1	col 2	col 3	col 4	col 5	col 6	col 7	col 8	col 9	col 10	
	Minimum	FTES	Equity	Success	Total		Total	Assessment	Total	Base vs Scenario 5
	Base Rev	TTEO	Equity	0000000	Calculated		State Apportionment		Apportionment	
City	12,867,190	42,878,850	13,790,382	5,667,273	75,203,695		75,203,695	(16,649,030)	58,554,665	1,739,548
East	15,298,666	90,624,921	26,310,489	11,498,895	143,732,971		143,732,971	(31,820,439)	111,912,532	4,782,512
Harbor	7,757,618	24,036,218	6,802,949	4,573,532	43,170,318		43,170,318	(9,557,295)	33,613,022	736,635
Mission	7,153,572	26,945,185	8,193,693	4,781,034	47,073,484		47,073,484	(10,421,401)	36,652,083	3,831,908
Pierce	12,043,029	50,460,630	16,741,658	11,163,833	90,409,150		90,409,150	(20,015,302)	70,393,848	3,090,062
Southwest	7,841,069	19,701,547	6,335,295	2,564,918	36,442,829		36,442,829	(8,067,925)	28,374,904	(406,836)
Trade-Tecl	11,279,127	44,209,818	12,783,986	6,014,543	74,287,473		74,287,473	(16,446,192)	57,841,282	613,878
Valley	11,387,664	45,240,346	15,243,426	6,859,085	78,730,521		78,730,521	(17,429,819)	61,300,702	2,804,539
West	7,734,816	29,687,893	8,239,131	4,734,117	50,395,958		50,395,958	(11,156,949)	39,239,008	1,426,866
ITV	-								-	(1,427,626)
TOTAL	93,362,751	373,785,409	114,441,008	57,857,231	639,446,399	-	639,446,399	(141,564,353)	497,882,046	17,191,487

Scenario 7- add Utilities to minimum base, SCFF calculations lowered proportionately, assessment based on Revenue %

col 1	col 2	col 3	col 4	col 5	col 6	col 7	col 8	col 9	col 10	
	Minimum Base Rev	FTES	Equity	Success	Total Calculated		Total State Apportionment	Assessment	Total Apportionment	Base vs Scenario 7
City East Harbor Mission Pierce Southwest Trade-Tecl Valley West ITV	12,772,436 15,906,038 7,877,576 7,624,153 11,833,829 7,830,420 10,524,896 11,350,260 7,643,142 -	42,878,850 90,624,921 24,036,218 26,945,185 50,460,630 19,701,548 44,209,818 45,240,346 29,687,893	13,790,382 26,310,489 6,802,949 8,193,693 16,741,658 6,335,295 12,783,986 15,243,426 8,239,131	5,667,273 11,498,895 4,573,532 4,781,034 11,163,833 2,564,918 6,014,543 6,859,085 4,734,117	75,108,941 144,340,343 43,290,276 47,544,065 90,199,950 36,432,181 73,533,243 78,693,117 50,304,284		75,108,941 144,340,343 43,290,276 47,544,065 90,199,950 36,432,181 73,533,243 78,693,117 50,304,284	(16,628,053) (31,954,902) (9,583,852) (10,525,581) (19,968,988) (8,065,567) (16,279,216) (17,421,539) (11,136,654)	112,385,441 33,706,423 37,018,484 70,230,962 28,366,613 57,254,027 61,271,578	$\begin{array}{c} 1,665,772\\ 5,255,421\\ 830,036\\ 4,198,309\\ 2,927,176\\ (415,127)\\ 26,623\\ 2,775,416\\ 1,355,488\\ (1,427,626)\end{array}$
TOTAL	93,362,750	373,785,409	114,441,008	57,857,231	639,446,399	-	639,446,399	(141,564,353)	497,882,046	17,191,487

	Scenario 7 & 8									

2018-19												
Base Allocation												
Minimum Base Funding												
Revised M&O Cost based on FY 2016-17 Updated January 24, 2									nuary 24, 20:			
	City	East	Harbor	Mission	Pierce	S-west	Trade-Tech	Valley	West	Total		
otal Funding for Presidents and VPs	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$1,104,790	\$9,943,10		
Total Funding for Deans Position	\$ 1,196,744	\$ 1,795,116	\$ 598,372	\$ 598,372	\$ 1,196,744	\$ 598,372	\$ 1,196,744	\$ 1,196,744	\$ 598,372	8,975,5		
otal Funding for Salaries and Benefits	3,047,824	3,826,964	2,268,684	2,268,684	3,047,824	2,268,684	3,047,824	3,047,824	2,268,684	25,092,9		
JTILITIES Funding	2,274,813	3,375,673	1,444,524	1,649,381	1,961,483	1,334,055	1,232,112	1,975,130	1,227,389	16,474,5		
M&O Costs by Square Footage (2016-17)												
Gross Square Footage ⁽⁸⁾	1,078,764	1,260,291	603,019	536,658	988,221	612,187	904,298	916,222	600,514	7,500,1		
Average Cost per sq.ft. ⁽²⁾	\$ 6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.91	\$6.9		
otal funding for M&O Costs	\$7,449,799	\$8,703,401	\$4,164,368	\$3,706,088	\$6,824,522	\$4,227,681	\$6,244,961	\$6,327,306	\$4,147,069	\$51,795,19		
otal Proposed Minimum Base Funding	\$12,772,436	\$15,906.038	\$ 7.877.576	\$ 7,624,153	\$11,833,829	\$ 7,830,420	\$10,524,896	\$11,350,260	\$ 7.643.142	\$93,362,75		

	One-Time	Ongoing	Distribution Year
FON Funding- over 3 years	1,800,000		FY19-20; FY20-21;FY21-22
Year 1@ 100%, Year 2 @ 66%, Year 3@ 33%			
Year 1=\$900,000, Year 2=\$600,000;Year 3=\$300,000			
57 faculty x 50% of difference between LACCD cost of Faculty			
(108,000) and State Chancellor's Office reimbursement (77,000)			
PERS/STRS Funding	4,200,000		FY19-20 - FY23-24
LACCD SCFF budget allocation model transition		3,000,000	FY19-20
Reserve for future SCFF uncertainty (enrollment contingencies)		3,000,000	
Operational Transition Funding (i.e. AB705)		3,000,000	FY18-19
Distribution		8,000,000	FY18-19
Total	6,000,000	17,000,000	



OFFICE OF THE VICE CHANCELLOR FINANCE & RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

Date: January 18, 2019

To: Chancellor Francisco Rodriguez, Ph.D.

From: Dr. Robert B. Miller

Subject: 2018/19 and 2019/20 Budgets Update

2018-19 Budget Status

With details of the new Student Centered Funding Formula unclear at the beginning of this fiscal year, the District took a conservative approach and budgeted state apportionment revenue to the minimum guarantee of \$622 million. As the District worked with the State Chancellor's office to better understand the intricacies of the new model, it appears that the District can expect approximately \$17 - \$23 million more in apportionment revenue for the 2018-19 fiscal year. \$6 million of this revenue is a one-time increase due to a clarification of the FTES average calculation and \$17 million is ongoing as a result of the other components of equity and success metrics. As regards the \$17 million, based on the three-year average component of the funding formula, it is conceivable the on-going amount may be lower if enrollment, equity and success targets are not fully achieved as the District anticipates.

In late February, when P1 is released, the District will have greater certainty as to the increase in revenue. Because we are entering into a period of slower recurring revenue growth, it is fiscally prudent to direct these one-time dollars to PERS/STRS and FON obligations as well as potentially saving some of the ongoing revenues to address the transition the Colleges and the District must make as it responds to the new SCFF as well as AB705 and AB2160.

As of the first Quarter of 2018-19, the District is projecting a General Fund Unrestricted ending balance of \$117 million; 16.6% of projected expenditures.

New District Allocation Model

The Executive Committee of the District Budget Committee (ECDBC) has been working towards development of a new District allocation model following the guiding principle that it is to align with the State's Student Centered Funding Formula in support of student access, equity and success. The committee has held six meetings with more scheduled each month (see attachment 1) until the end of the fiscal year. The goal is to have the Board approve a new allocation model in time to build the 2019-20 final budget.



2019-20 Governor's January Proposal

The 2019-20 Governor's Budget embraces and builds upon California Community Colleges' efforts to create a skilled and educated workforce through responsive educational programs, and quality, affordable transfer education for all Californians.

For California Community Colleges, the Governor makes four notable expenditures in the 2019-2020 Budget:

1) A second year of free tuition to first-time full-time students and other local College Promise strategies,

- 2) Increased award amounts and expansion of Cal Grant programs,
- 3) A buy-down of K-14 STRS rate increases, and
- 4) Ongoing increases to funding formula rates (COLA at 3.46%)

Distinctive Elements of the 2019-20 Budget:

Funding Formula – The Governor's Budget acknowledges the importance of supporting an effective transition to the new Student-Centered Funding Formula. Specifically, funding rates for 2019-20 would mirror the 2018-19 rates plus a COLA. Also proposed is a change to student success allocation factor to have it remain the same as 2018-19. In other words, the allocation split is to remain at 70% on FTES, 20% on equity, and 10% on student success.

Cal Grants and Financial Aid – The 2019-20 budget proposes \$121.6 million to increase or provide new access awards for students with dependent children attending a public higher education institution. The Cal Grant A access award is increased to \$6,000, the Cal Grant B award is increased from \$1,648 to \$6,000, and the Cal Grant C award is increased from \$1,094 to \$4,000.

Pension Liabilities – The Budget proposal includes a unique one-time \$3 billion pay down of the state's share of unfunded liabilities within CalSTRS. In practice, this action lowers districts' employer contribution rates in 2019-20 and 2020-21.

Legal Services – The Governor's Budget includes \$10 million in Proposition 98 resources to provide legal services to undocumented and immigrant students, faculty, and staff on CCC campuses. LACCD is acting as the fiscal agent for these funds through the California Department of Social Services.

Bond and Capital Outlay – In 2016, California voters approved a facilities bond providing a \$2 billion infrastructure investment in California's community colleges. The



proposed budget funds 27 capital outlay projects, 15 continuing and 12 new projects, of which Los Angeles City College- Theater Arts Replacement is proposed to be funded.

For community colleges, the proposal includes approximately \$402 million in Proposition 98 resources. Importantly, Governor Newsom honors the statutory split of 10.93% for community colleges. The Governor's January budget provides \$246 million in new spending for the Community College System for the items above. This includes a COLA of 3.46%, increase in access (growth) of .55%, as well as an expansion of the California College Promise to cover the student's second year of attendance. The estimated revenue increase to LACCD is \$29 million; \$23 million in COLA, \$4 million in College Promise dollars and \$2 million in growth if earned. The proposed CalSTRS pay down would lower the Districts STRS contribution rate by 1%, which equates to approximately a \$2.6 million reduction in pension expenses for LACCD in the 2019-20 year.

2019-20 Student Centered Funding Formula

Included in the Governor's budget proposal is a change to the Student Centered Funding Formula, in which the Governor proposes that the student success allocation factor remain the same as 2018-19. In other words, the allocation split is to remain at 70% on FTES, 20% on equity, and 10% on student success. This change impacts the District negatively, as the formula utilizes a three-year average in the FTES calculation and the past two years of the Districts FTES declines moves this average downward. Other projected increases in the equity and student success metrics data help to offset the FTES revenue decline, the District is projecting no additional revenue (aside from COLA, currently estimated at \$23 million) from the model above the 2018-19 year and could experience revenue declines if the projected equity and success metrics do not materialize. Because of the uncertainty regarding the change to the SCFF as well as the Districts many metrics that go into the model, it remains fiscally prudent to set aside funds to address these unknowns.

	Final Signed 2018-1	-	Governor's January Proposal 2019-20		
Description	System	LACCD	System	LACCD	
General Fund					
Increased Access (.55% in FY2019-20; 1% in FY2018-19) $^{[1]}$	60,000,000	5,800,000	26,000,000	2,400,000	
COLA (3.46% in FY2019-20; 2.71% in FY2018-19) ^[1]	173,100,000	16,400,000	248,300,000	22,600,000	
Funding Formula Transition	269,000,000	?	-		
Apprenticeship	-	-	-		
Full Time Faculty Funding	50,000,000	4,800,000	-	-	
Total Ongoing Base Increase	552,100,000	27,000,000	274,300,000	25,000,000	
Categorical/Restricted					
COLA for EOPS, DSPS, CALWorks,Child Care tax bailout ^[1]	8,059,000	800,000	13,500,000	1,200,000	
Guided Pathways	-	-			
Certified Nursing Assistant	2,000,000	-			
Proposition 39	-	-			
Adult Ed Data Sharing	5,000,000	-			
Adult Ed - COLA	22,500,000	211,000			
Scheduled Main/Instructional Equipment	28,500,000	2,700,000			
CCCCO System Office	2,000,000	-	5,500,000	-	
Innovation Awards (grant based)	-	-			
Online Education Initiative - system office	120,000,000	-			
College Promise ^{[1] [2]}	46,000,000	4,400,000	40,000,000	3,600,000	
Total Categorical/Restricted	234,059,000	8,111,000	59,000,000	4,800,000	
Other/one time					
Cal Grant B (to Students)	40,700,000	-			
Apprenticeship (one-time)	36,500,000	336,000			
Open Educational Resources	6,000,000	-			
Course Identification Numbering	685,000	-			
Next Up (CAYFES)	5,000,000	-			
New Financial Aid System - system office	18,500,000	-			
P Tech	10,000,000	-			
Re-entry for formerly incarcerated	5,000,000	-			
Career Readiness for Refugees	5,000,000	-			
Online Education Initiative	35,000,000	-			
Part Time Faculty Office Hours ^[2]	50,000,000	4,800,000			
Legal Services for Undocumented	10,000,000	-	10,000,000	-	
Mental Health Services ^[2]	10,000,000	1,000,000			
Hunger Free Campuses ^[2]	10,000,000	1,000,000			
Veterans Resource Center ^[2]	8,500,000	800,000			
Total Other/One Time	250,885,000	7,936,000	10,000,000	-	
Grand Total	1,037,044,000	43,047,000	343,300,000	29,800,000	

Projected amount is based on the proportional share of LACCD Total Computational Revenue to the State system, currently 9.1%.
Projected amount is based on the proportional share of LACCD FTES to the State system, 9.6% in FY2017-18.